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## Information System



## Error Detection

## Parity Checking

One additional bit is added to each byte in the message

## Longitudinal Redundancy Checking (LRC)

One additional character (block check character $=\mathrm{BCC}$ ) to the end of each block of data

## Polynomial Checking

A character or series of characters to the end of the message based on a mathematical algorithm.

Two most popular techniques are :

1. Checksum
2. Cyclic Redundancy Checking (CRC)

## Error Correction



The simplest, most effective, least expensive, and most commonly used method is retransmission. Sometimes called Backward Error Correction

A receiver that detects an error simply asks the sender to retransmit the message until it is received without error.
This is often called Automatic Repeat reQuest (ARQ). But requires duplex channel.


Full-Duplex : Both devices can transmit and receive simultaneously.

## Stop and Wait ARQ



Continuous ARQ with Pullback - Sliding Window ARQ


Continuous ARQ with Selective Repeat - Sliding Window ARQ


## Parity Checking

The additional parity bit is set to make the total number of ones in the byte (including the parity bit) either an even number or an odd number.


Same calculation is done at the receiver. If the same parity bit is found then it is assumed that received bits are OK

## Example

1111011
11110110

Two bit errors 11101110

11110111


## Longitudinal Redundancy Checking (LRC)

LRC adds one additional character, called the block check character (BCC) to the end of each block of data before the block is transmitted

|  | P | A | R | I | T | Y | BCC |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BIT 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| BIT 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| BIT 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| BIT 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| BIT 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| BIT 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| BIT 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| PARITY | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Even when used together, the parity and LRC will not catch all errors.

LRC will fail to detect errors that occur in an "even rectangular form", and other forms harder to describe as long as there are an even number of errors in each column and each row
Parity of second byte

## Polynomial Checking (1. Checksum)

The checksum is calculated by summing up the numerical value of each character, ignoring the carries if exist and using the remainder as the checksum that is transmitted to the other end of the communication circuit

| Hexadecimal values of the character | checksum |
| :---: | :---: |
| 12400580 FB 120026 B4 BB 09 B4 12 28 74 11 | BB |
| 1200 2E 22 12 00 26 7500 00 FA 12 00 26 25 00 | $3 A$ |
| F5 00 DA F7 12 0026 B5 $0006741012002 E 22$ | F1 |
| 74111200 2E 2274131200 2E 22 | B4 |

Checksum is calculated in the same way in the receiver and compared with the received one.
If they are different then it is clear that the received data has error(s). It is obvious that the sum might come up the same even if the values are different. Therefore checksum detects only about $95 \%$ of the errors.

F5 00 DA F7 120026 B5 000674121000 2E $22 \quad$ F1

## Polynomial Checking (2. Cyclic Redundancy Checking)

## A block of data is treated as one long binary polynomial $P$

The sender divides $P$ by a fixed binary polynomial $G$, resulting in a whole polynomial $Q$, and a remainder, $R / G$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{P}{G}=Q+\frac{R}{G} \quad & \begin{array}{l}
x^{5}+x^{2}+1 \text { (USB) } \\
\\
x^{32}+x^{26}+x^{23}+x^{22}+x^{16}+x^{12}+x^{11}+x^{10}+x^{8}+x^{7}+x^{5}+x^{4}+x^{2}+x+1 \\
\\
\\
(\text { IEEE 802.3) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

The remainder $R$ is appended to the message before transmission, as a check sequence $k$ bits long ( 8 , 16,24 , or 32 bits ).

Same calculation is done at the receiver as the block is received. If the CRC numbers are the same, it is assumed that the data is error free.

The receiving hardware divides the received message by the same $G$, which generates an $R$.
The receiving hardware checks to ascertain whether the received $R$ agrees with the locally generated R. If it does not, the message is assumed to be in error

CRC has become the standard method of error detection for block data transmission because of its high reliability in detecting transmission errors.

1. An 8 bit CRC detects 99,969 percent of the error.
2. CRC-16 (16 bits) detects at least 99.99 percent of them.
3. CRC-24 (24 bits) allows only three bits in 100 million to go undetected, and the error rate of $3 \times 10-8$.
4. Today 32 bit CRC codes are popular because they have an even higher error detection rate

## Back to Information System



Source Encoder : For coding efficiency, removes coding redundancy, does compression

Channel Encoder : For reliability and robustness against channel noise and errors, adds coding redundancy

How do we add some redundancy to code so that we can recover from some errors?

## Forward Error Correction (History)

1. Around 1947-1948, the subject of information theory was created by Claude Shannon.
2. During the same time period, Richard Hamming discovered and implemented a singlebit error correcting code.(Block coding or algebraic coding)
3. Soon after, Marcel Golay generalized Hamming's construction and constructed codes. He also constructed two very remarkable codes that correct multiple errors, and that now bear his name.
4. Another FEC technique, known as convolutional coding, was first introduced in 1955. Historically,the first type of convolutional decoding was sequentional decoding.
5. In 1960, researchers, including Irving Reed and Gustave Solomon, discovered how to construct error correcting codes that could correct for an arbitrary number of bits or an arbitrary number of "bytes". Even though the codes were discovered at this time, there still was no way known to decode the codes.
6. In 1967, Andrew Viterbi developed a decoding technique that has since become the standard for decoding convolutional codes.
7. In 1968, Elwyn Berlekamp and James Massey discovered algorithms needed to build decoders for multiple error correcting codes. They came to be known as the BerlekampMassey algorithm.
8. In 1974, Joseph Odenwalder combined these two coding techniques to form a concatenated code. In this arrangement, the encoder linked together an algebraic code followed by a convolutional code.
9. In 1993, Claude Berrou and his associates developed the turbo code, the most powerful forward error-correction code yet. Using the turbo code, communication systems can approach the theorethical limit of channel capacity, as characterized by the so-called Shannon Limit, which had been considered unreachable for more than four decades.

The codes are usually designated by $(n, k)$ pairs, where $n$ is the number of code bits (output) and $k$ is the number of data bits (input)

Rate, $\quad R=\frac{k}{n}$ is a measure of information (in bits) per output bit.
redundancy $=\frac{n-k}{n} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { is good for protection against channel errors } \\ & \text { but bad for channel utilization. }\end{aligned}$

System performance improves (i.e., bit-error rate decreases) as SNR increases.


$$
G(d B)=\left(\frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}\right)_{B}(d B)-\left(\frac{E_{b}}{N_{0}}\right)_{A}(d B)
$$

## Block Codes

$C$ is a block code $(n, k)$

$$
C=\left\{\mathbf{c}_{1}, \mathbf{c}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{i}, \ldots, \mathbf{c}_{M}\right\} \quad i=1,2, \ldots, M, \quad M=2^{k}
$$

where $\quad \mathbf{c}_{i} \quad$ is a sequence of 0 s and 1 s of length $n$ and is called a codeword.
if $\mathbf{c}_{i} \oplus \mathbf{c}_{j}$ is a codeword then $C$ is called linear block code.

modulo 2 addition

$$
\text { Assumption } \quad \mathbf{x}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{x}_{2} \quad \text { maps into } \quad \mathbf{c}_{1} \oplus \mathbf{c}_{2}
$$

if $\mathbf{X}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{2}$ map into $\mathbf{C}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{C}_{2}$ respectively

The code is linear since $\mathbf{c}_{i} \oplus \mathbf{c}_{j}$ is also a codeword

| Given the mapping | $00 \rightarrow$ | 00000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $01 \rightarrow$ | 01111 | the assumption is correct |
|  | $10 \rightarrow$ | 10100 |  |
|  | $11 \rightarrow$ | 11011 |  |
|  | $00 \rightarrow$ | 10100 |  |
| However with | $01 \rightarrow$ | 01111 | the assumption is incorrect |
|  | $10 \rightarrow$ | 00000 |  |
|  | $11 \rightarrow$ | 11011 |  |

The number of components that differ between $\mathbf{C}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{j}$

$$
d\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}, \mathbf{c}_{j}\right)
$$

The Hamming Weight

The number of nonzero components of the codeword $\mathbf{c}_{i} w\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}\right)$

Minimum Hamming Distance

$$
\left.d_{\min }=\min _{\substack{\mathbf{c}_{i}, \mathbf{c}_{j} \\ i \neq j}} d\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}, \mathbf{c}_{j}\right)\right\}
$$

Minimum Weight of the Code

$$
w_{\min }=\min _{\substack{\mathbf{c}_{i} \neq 0}}^{\left.w\left(\mathbf{c}_{i}\right)\right\}}
$$

Theorem: $\quad d_{\text {min }}=w_{\text {min }} \quad$ in any linear code

Let the information sequences be, in a ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}$ ) code

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1000 \ldots 0) \\
\mathbf{e}_{2}=(0100 \ldots 0) \\
\mathbf{e}_{3}=(0010 \ldots 0) \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{e}_{k}=(0000 \ldots 1)
\end{gathered}
$$

and their corresponding codewords be $\quad \mathbf{g}_{1}, \mathbf{g}_{2}, \ldots \mathbf{g}_{k}$

Since any information sequence $\mathbf{X}$ can be written as

$$
\mathbf{x}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \mathbf{e}_{i}
$$

the corresponding codeword can be written as

$$
\mathbf{c}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \mathbf{g}_{i}
$$

Define

$$
\mathbf{G} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{g}_{1} \\
\mathbf{g}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{g}_{k}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
g_{11} & g_{12} & \cdots & g_{1 n} \\
g_{21} & g_{22} & \cdots & g_{2 n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
g_{k 1} & g_{k 2} & \cdots & g_{k n}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { generator matrix }
$$

so $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{x G}$
Any linear combination of the rows of the generator matrix is a codeword.
The generator matrix of a $(n, k)$ code is a $k \times n$ matrix of rank $k$.
The generator matrix completely describes the code.

The generator matrix of the code $C=\{00000, \quad 10100, \quad 01111,11011\}$
is found by taking the codewords corresponding the information sequences (10) and (01)

$$
\mathbf{G}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
10100 \\
01111
\end{array}\right]
$$

The codeword for information sequence

$$
\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \text { is }\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{5}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mathbf{G}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1} & =x_{1} \\
c_{2} & =x_{2} \\
c_{3} & =x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \\
c_{4} & =x_{2} \\
c_{5} & =x_{2}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { Such a code is called a systematic code }
$$

The generator matrix of systematic codes shall be in the form of

$$
G=\left[I_{k} \mid P\right]
$$

where $I_{k}$ is a $k \times k$ identity matrix (for first $k$ codebits) and $P$ is a $k \times(n-k)$ binary matrix called parity matrix. So,

$$
c_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i}, & 1 \leq i \leq k \\
\sum_{j=1}^{k} p_{j i} x_{j}, & k+1 \leq i \leq n
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Hamming Codes (R.W. Hamming 1940)

Let the information bits be $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$

And the code word bits be

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
c_{1}=x_{1} \\
c_{2}=x_{2} \\
c_{3}=x_{3} \\
c_{4}=x_{4} \\
c_{5}=c_{1} \oplus c_{2} \oplus c_{4} \\
c_{6}=c_{1} \oplus c_{3} \oplus c_{4} \\
c_{7}=c_{2} \oplus c_{3} \oplus c_{4}
\end{array}\right\} \quad \text { where the summations are in modulo } 2
$$

In this code the receiver is able to correct single bit errors in a word
$(7,4)$ Hamming code words

$$
\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}
$$

Let

$$
\mathbf{r}=r_{1} r_{2} r_{3} r_{4} r_{5} r_{6} r_{7}
$$

be the received word with a maximum of 1 bit in error although

$$
\mathbf{c}=c_{1} c_{2} c_{3} c_{4} c_{5} c_{6} c_{7}
$$

We simply find the closest match (ML) from the code words table.

## Example : The received word is $0 \begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1\end{array}$

The closest word in the table (with one bit difference) is
in the fifth row.

The information bits sent are the first 4 bits of this code word.

It is not efficient to search the code book for the closest code word. There are better algorithms.

Since $\quad 0 \oplus 0=1 \oplus 1=0 \quad$ it is obvious that $\quad c_{i} \oplus c_{i}=0$

Let us apply this to parity check equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=c_{1} \oplus c_{2} \oplus c_{4} \oplus c_{5} \\
& 0=c_{1} \oplus c_{3} \oplus c_{4} \oplus c_{6} \\
& 0=c_{2} \oplus c_{3} \oplus c_{4} \oplus c_{7}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\mathbf{r}$ is received with a maximum of one bit in error,
then the results of above calculations become

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{1}=r_{1} \oplus r_{2} \oplus r_{4} \oplus r_{5} \quad\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)  \tag{0,0,0}\\
& s_{2}=r_{1} \oplus r_{3} \oplus r_{4} \oplus r_{6} \begin{array}{l}
\text { For no or single bit errors } \\
\text { in first four bits }
\end{array} \\
& s_{3}=r_{2} \oplus r_{3} \oplus r_{4} \oplus r_{7}  \tag{1,0,1}\\
& \mathbf{S}=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right) \quad \text { is called the syndrome vector } \tag{1,1,0}
\end{align*}
$$



What happens if two bits were received in error?
If $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are in error and a 0000000 was sent, then a 1100000 will be received.
$s_{1}=1 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$
$s_{2}=1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$
$\square\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)=(0,1,1) \quad$ meaning that $r_{3}$ should be corrected !
$s_{3}=1 \oplus 0 \oplus 0 \oplus 0$


Since $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ must be all zero, we try to make the sums of the bits in each circle zero.

$s_{1}$ and $S_{3}$ are both zero (no problem there). But $S_{2}$ is 1.
In order to correct both $S_{2}$ and not change $S_{1}$ and $S_{3}$ we must make $r_{6}=0$.
The information bits are not affected from this : 1001

## Example : The received word is $\quad \mathbf{r}=1110011$



No problem with $S_{1}$, but $S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$ are 1. In order to correct both we must change $r_{3}$ to 0

The examples we have seen were using $(7,4)$ Hamming code


The next longer Hamming codes are $(15,11),(31,26)$ and $(63,57)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For each integer } \begin{array}{r}
m \geq 3
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
\text { There is an }(n, k) \text { Hamming code with } \\
n=2^{m}-1 \quad \text { code bits of which } \\
k=2^{m}-1-m \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { are information bits and the } \\
\text { remaining } m \text { are parity bits }
\end{array} \\
m=4 \longrightarrow(15,11) \\
m=5 \longrightarrow(31,26) \\
m=6 \longrightarrow(63,57)
\end{array} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\text { Hamming codes are unable to correct multiple bit errors in a } \\
\text { code word. For that we would need more complex codes like } \\
\text { Reed-Solomon }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cyclic Codes

Cyclic codes are a subset of linear block codes

A cyclic code is a linear block code with the extra condition;
Cyclic shift of a codeword must also be a codeword
Example : $\quad\{000,110,101,011\}$
 are also codewords, so it is cyclic code
Cyclic shifted versions

Cyclic codewords are thought of polynomials, called codeword polynomials
$c(p)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} p^{n-i}=c_{1} p^{n-1}+c_{2} p^{n-2}+\cdots c_{n-1} p+c_{n}$

$$
c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n-1}, c_{n}\right)
$$

The polynomial
$c^{(1)}(p)=c_{2} p^{n-1}+c_{3} p^{n-2}+\cdots c_{n-1} p^{2}+c_{n} p+c_{1} \quad$ representing $\quad c^{(1)}=\left(c_{2}, c_{3}, \cdots, c_{n}, c_{1}\right)$
is the cyclic shift of $c$ and also a codeword in the code.

The mathematics are done in modulo arithmetic.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0+0=1+1=0-0=1-1=0 \\
& 1+0=0+1=0-1=1-0=1 \\
& 0 \times 0=0 \times 1=1 \times 0=0 \\
& 1 \times 1=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The interesting thing about these polynomials with modulo arithmetic is when $p^{i} c(p)$ is divided by $p^{n}+1$ the remainder is $c^{i}(p)$

Let us show this when $i=1$
$p c(p)=p\left(c_{1} p^{n-1}+c_{2} p^{n-2}+\cdots c_{n-1} p+c_{n}\right)=c_{1} p^{n}+c_{2} p^{n-1}+\cdots c_{n-1} p^{2}+c_{n} p$
and

$$
\begin{array}{rl|c} 
& c_{1} p^{n}+c_{2} p^{n-1}+\cdots c_{n-1} p^{2}+c_{n} p & p^{n}+1 \\
& c_{1} p^{n}+c_{1} & c_{1} \\
\hline & c_{2} p^{n-1}+c_{3} p^{n-2}+\cdots c_{n} p+c_{1} &
\end{array}
$$

$$
\text { similarly } \quad \frac{p^{i} c(p)}{p^{n}+1}=\frac{c^{(i)}(p)}{p^{n}+1}+c_{i} \quad \text { or } \quad c^{(i)}(p)=p^{i} c(p)+c_{i}\left(p^{n}+1\right)
$$

$$
\text { and finally } c^{(n)}(p)=p^{n} c(p)+c_{n}\left(p^{n}+1\right)=c(p)
$$

In a $(n, k)$ cyclic code all codeword polynomials are multiples of a polynomial

$$
g(p)=p^{n-k}+g_{2} p^{n-k-1}+g_{3} p^{n-k-2}+\cdots+g_{n-k} p+1 \hookleftarrow \text { which divides } p^{n}+1
$$

$$
\text { If } \quad X(p)=x_{1} p^{k-1}+x_{2} p^{k-2}+\cdots+x_{k-1} p+1
$$

$$
\text { represents the information sequence } x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right)
$$

then the codeword polynomial is $\quad c(p)=X(p) g(p)$

Example : $\quad x=(1010)$ and $g=(1101) \quad$ Find codeword

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(p) & =\left(p^{3}+p\right)\left(p^{3}+p^{2}+1\right) \\
& =p^{6}+p^{5}+p^{3}+p^{4}+p^{3}+p \\
& =p^{6}+p^{5}+p^{4}+p
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
c=(1110010) \quad \text { Since } k=4 \text { and } n-k=3 \text { this a codeword of }(7,4)
$$

Example: Generate a $(7,4)$ cyclic code

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n-k=3 \quad \text { We need a } 3^{\text {rd d degree generator polynomial and it has to divide } p^{7}+1} \\
& p^{7}+1=(p+1)\left(p^{3}+p^{2}+1\right)\left(p^{3}+p+1\right) \quad g(p)=p^{3}+p^{2}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { and multiply by } X(p)=x_{1} p^{3}+x_{2} p^{2}+x_{3} p+x_{4} \text { where }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \text { are info sequences. }
$$

| information word | $X(p)$ | $c(p)$ | codeword |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0000 | 0 | 0 | 0000000 |
| 0001 | 1 | $p^{3}+p^{2}+1$ | 0001101 |
| 0010 | $p$ | $p^{4}+p^{3}+p$ | 0011010 |
| 0011 | $p+1$ | $p^{4}+p^{2}+p+1$ | 0010111 |
| 0100 | $p^{2}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| 0101 | $p^{2}+1$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| 0110 | $p^{2}+p$ |  |  |
| 0111 | $p^{2}+p+1$ |  |  |
| 1000 | $p^{3}$ |  |  |
| 1001 | $p^{3}+1$ |  |  |
| 1010 | $p^{3}+p$ |  |  |
| 1011 | $p^{3}+p+1$ |  |  |
| 1100 | $p^{3}+p^{2}$ |  |  |
| 1101 | $p^{3}+p^{2}+1$ | $p^{3}+p^{2}+p$ |  |

For a systematic code $c(p)=p^{n-k} X(p)+\rho(p)$
where $\rho(p)$ is the remainder of the division $\frac{p^{n-k} X(p)}{g(p)}$
Example : given $g(p)=p^{3}+p^{2}+1 \quad$ and $x=(1010) \quad((n, k)=(7,4))$


If the received codeword $r$ has at most 1 bit error in $(7,4)$ code then it is correctable.
For a code to be single-error-correcting, all single error patterns must be addressable by the syndrome vector.
That is, the condition

$$
2^{n-k} \geq n+1 \quad \text { is to be satisfied }
$$

Info bits Code word

| 0000 | 0000000 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0001 | 0001101 |
| 0010 | 0010111 |
| 0011 | 0011010 |
| 0100 | 0100011 |
| 0101 | 0101110 |
| 0110 | 0110100 |
| 0111 | 0111001 |
| 1000 | 1000110 |
| 1001 | 1001011 |
| 1010 | 1010001 |
| 1011 | 1011100 |
| 1100 | 1100101 |
| 1101 | 1101000 |
| 1110 | 1110010 |
| 1111 | 1111111 |

Note that;

1. First 4 bits of the codeword is the same with the info bits. (systematic)
2. The red-bits are parity which has the same size as the syndrome vector. 3 bits can address 7 different error positions and 1 no error condition.
3. Cyclic shifts of codewords are in the table too.
4. Code is linear.

$$
G=\left[\begin{array}{llll:lll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Homework : complete the $(7,4)$ systematic cyclic code table and generator matrix for $g(p)=p^{3}+p+1$

Syndrome vector is calculated by dividing the received word by the generator polynomial and taking the remainder.

$$
s(p)=\operatorname{Rem} \frac{r(p)}{g(p)} \quad \frac{r(p)}{g(p)}=c(p)+\frac{s(p)}{g(p)} \longleftarrow \text { remainder of long division }
$$

Example : correct the error in the received word 0101000, if there is any. Use the systematic code generated earlier.

$$
\begin{align*}
& r(p)=p^{5}+p^{3} \quad g(p)=p^{3}+p^{2}+1 \\
& \frac{p^{5}+p^{3}}{} \frac{p^{5}+p^{4}+p^{2} \mid}{p^{4}+p^{2}+1} p^{2}+p \\
& =p^{4}+p^{2}+p^{3}+p \\
& p^{2}+p \tag{110}
\end{align*}(p)
$$

We obtained the syndrome vector as $s=(110)$
But we do not know which bit it points to. Let us find syndrome vector for each possible error position.
$\operatorname{Rem} \frac{p^{6}}{p^{3}+p^{2}+1}=p^{2}+p$
$\operatorname{Rem} \frac{p^{5}}{p^{3}+p^{2}+1}=p+1$

Syndrome Table
error position syndrome

| -1000000 | 110 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0100000 | 011 |
| 0010000 | 111 |
| 0001000 | 101 |
| 0000100 | 100 |
| 0000010 | 010 |
| 0000001 | 001 |

It is decoders job to calculate the syndrome vector and invert the bit which it points using the syndrome table. If there is no error, then the syndrome vector should be 000 . The big assumption is that we have a single bit error.

In the example (110) indicates that the first bit should be inverted. The corrected information word is (1101) instead of (0101)

Division Circuit for $g(p)=p^{3}+p^{2}+1$
generator feedback
information bits (msb first)


Binary Division (modulo) Circuitry (animated)



The generator $g(p)=p^{16}+p^{12}+p^{5}+1$ standardized as V. 41 by ITU-T is used in Wide-Area-Networks

The generator
$g(p)=p^{32}+p^{26}+p^{23}+p^{22}+p^{16}+p^{12}+p^{11}+p^{10}+p^{8}+p^{7}+p^{5}+p^{4}+p^{2}+p+1$
is standardized by IEEE and is used in Local-Area-Networks and FDDIs.

Homework : Design a syndrome vector detection circuitry for the code previously analyzed.

1. Divide received vector by the generator.
2. The remainder is the syndrome vector.
3. Use the syndrome table to determine the incorrect bit position.
4. Correct the errorenous bit if there is any (if the syndrome is nonzero).

## Reed-Solomon

Reed-Solomon codes are block-based error correcting codes with a wide range of applications in digital communications and storage. Reed-Solomon codes are used to correct errors in many systems including:

1. Storage devices (including tape, Compact Disk, DVD, barcodes, etc)
2. Wireless or mobile communications (including cellular telephones, microwave links, etc)
3. Satellite communications
4. Digital television
5. High-speed modems such as ADSL, xDSL, etc.

A Reed-Solomon code is specified as $\operatorname{RS}(n, k)$ with $s$-bit symbols. This means that the encoder takes $k$ data symbols of $s$ bits each and adds parity symbols to make an $n$ symbol codeword. There are $n-k$ parity symbols of $s$ bits each.


A Reed-Solomon decoder can correct up to $t$ symbols that contain errors in a codeword, where $2 t=n-k$.

Example: $\quad R S(255,223)$ with 8 bit symbols ( $\mathrm{s}=8$ )


| 223 bytes (symbols) | 32 bytes |
| :--- | :--- |

$n=255$
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{l}k=223 \\ s=8\end{array}\right\} \quad \begin{array}{ll}2 t=32 \quad t=16 \quad \text { (the number of correctable symbols in a } 255 \text { symbol block) }\end{array}\right\}$

The maximum codeword size is $n=2^{s}-1$

A codeword is, as usual, generated using a special polynomial called generator polynomial. All valid codewords are exactly divisible by it. The general form is:

$$
g(x)=\left(x-a^{i}\right)\left(x-a^{i+1}\right) \cdots\left(x-a^{i+2 t}\right)
$$

A codeword is constructed using $a$ is the primitive element of

$$
c(x)=g(x) \cdot i(x)
$$

Example: Generator for $\operatorname{RS}(255,249)$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g(x)=\left(x-a^{0}\right)\left(x-a^{1}\right)\left(x-a^{2}\right)\left(x-a^{3}\right)\left(x-a^{4}\right)\left(x-a^{5}\right) \\
& g(x)=x^{6}+g_{5} x^{5}+g_{4} x^{4}+g_{3} x^{3}+g_{2} x^{2}+g_{1} x+g_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Convolutional Codes

Convolutional codes use not only the current symbol digits but also the previous $N$ digits of the previous symbols. It does operate on streams not blocks.

modulo sums

* : it is assumed that the bits are shifted-right one bit at a time in which case $N=$ constraint length

( $N$ becomes unimportant when $k$ gets large)

The output sequence for any possible input can be shown on a code tree


## State Machine



## State Transition Graph

Current State Next State


## Trellis Diagram



## Example Trellis

$$
\text { input }=1101000 \quad \text { output }=11010100101100
$$



Trellis for the input 1101000 is marked with thick lines.
The last two input bits of 00 are appended to make the system ready for the next input stream/frame

```
        \Omega
decoder input = 11010101101100
```



Find the Hamming distance between input bits and branch value. Mark this value on the branch. Example: input value is 11 , but the branch value is 00 , then the Hamming distance is 2 as marked.
decoder input = 11010101101100


```
decoder input = 11010101101100
```



After the third branching, notice that each node is reached from only two predecessor node. Since what happens after this moment can not affect what happened up to this point, we compare the distance values of these two incoming branches and select the smaller one (Maximum Likelihood = Minimum Distance) and eliminate the other. We do this for each node. (The number of nodes $=$ the number of states $=2^{\mathrm{CL}-1}$ )

## decoder input = 11010101101100



The remaining paths after elimination are called the "survivors".
Notice that we have a single branch survived at the beginning. It is called "common stem". The decoder can output a data bit of " 1 " since $00->10$ transition is caused by a " 1 ".
decoder input = 11010101101100

decoder input = 11010101101100

decoder input = 11010101101100

decoder input = 11010101101100

decoder input = 11010101101100


```
decoder input = 11010101101100
```



These two can be output now.
Depending on the errors the common stem may lag as much as 5 x constraint length.
This is a decoding delay. But still only 4 paths are kept in memory.
decoder input = 11010101101100


This path has the smallest total Hamming distances.
Also ends at 00 as forced.
decoder input = 11010101101100




## Optimum Rate $1 / 2$ \& $1 / 3$ Convolutional Codes

| free distance $=5$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\begin{array}{l}111 \\ 101\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l}1111 \\ 1011\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l}10111 \\ 11001\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l}101111 \\ 110101\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l}1001111 \\ 1101101\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l}10011111 \\ 11100101\end{array}\right]$ | $\left[\begin{array}{l}110101111 \\ 100011101\end{array}\right]$ |

$\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { free distance }= & 8 \\ 10 & 12\end{array} \begin{array}{c}13\end{array} \begin{array}{c}15\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{c}16 \\ 101\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}16 \\ 111 \\ 1101\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}11111 \\ 11011 \\ 10101\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}101111 \\ 110101 \\ 111001\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}1001111 \\ 1010111 \\ 1101101\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}11101111 \\ 10011011 \\ 10101001\end{array}\right]$

## Free Distance

For block codes free distance is the minimum Hamming distance between codewords, and defines the error correcting capability of the code.
Convolutional codes work on streams, not the blocks info blocks. Free distance can be defined by sum of Hamming distances along the diverged (with an error) path, between ...00... stream and the values on the path. For the example coder, free distance path is the sum of 1 s along the path shown thick below (since Hamming distances are calculated between the code and the 00 possibility). Therefore it is 5 for the example coder.


## Error Correcting Capability

Error correcting capability of a block code is given by $t=\left\lfloor\frac{d_{f}-1}{2}\right\rfloor$
$t$ : the number of correctible errors in a codeword
$d_{f}$ : minimum free distance (minimim distance between codewords)
Error correcting capability of a convolutional code is not that clear. It obviously depends on the distribution of errors.

## END

